

- 256 Blow &c<sup>s</sup> vs Harrison pet abates Def. not found  
Same or same pet Dism?  
Same or Drake pet Dism?  
Same or Joe Vick pet Def. not app'd. Lsd.<sup>c</sup> for Tropaneas  
198 Three pence York  
Same or Petrie pet Dism?  
Same or B. Phillips pet Def. not app'd. Lsd.<sup>c</sup> for four pounds  
one shilling nine pence & five pence farthing of cash  
Same or Mullen Dism?  
Same or A. Brown pet Def. not app'd. Judgment for  
290 One pound fifteen shillings & 9<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> d<sup>r</sup> cash  
Same or Lewis Hark pet Def. not app'd. Lsd.<sup>c</sup> for four  
290 pounds of two pence half penny of cash  
Same or Harry Royal P. pet Lsd.<sup>c</sup> by defense for Two  
290 pounds 1/19<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> & cash  
v State ap<sup>r</sup> vs Jones Pet. Cont.  
Ellis vs Bremner Pet. Def. not app'd. Lsd.<sup>c</sup> for  
165 seconds to specially of cash  
290 Lucy vs Rogers, Atta Rob. <sup>agent</sup> Stating, Deposes that he had sufficient  
" 88 " in his hands of the right in money property to discharge the party  
290 demand judgment for partly account to the specially of cash for  
542<sup>1</sup> ~~that~~ that the garnisher discharge his judgment York  
Andrew vs Adams pet Def. not app'd. Judgment for £3 16<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> d<sup>r</sup>  
290 & cash  
McKenzie vs Lewis pet Def. not app'd. judgment accords to the  
290 app'y York - for benefit of Mr. Hancock  
Bromington vs Council L. pet Def. not app'd. Judgment according  
290 to specially York  
Woodard vs Hark pet for ten hours & day more for two  
290 pounds York  
Council ad<sup>r</sup> vs Dawson & Edwards pet Lsd.<sup>c</sup> by default amount  
to specially York  
v Johnson vs. vs people L. pet Cont.  
v Drew vs Moore L. Cont.  
290 Drew vs Powers pet Def. not app'd. Lsd.<sup>c</sup> accord<sup>r</sup> to app'y York